

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39053 of 2017
Date of Decision: 29.01.2018**

Sandeep Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Geeta Rani and another

..... Respondents

2. CRM-M-39201 of 2017

Sandeep Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bhagwan Dass Bagri and another

..... Respondents

3. CRM-M-39204 of 2017

Sandeep Jain and another

..... Petitioner

Versus

Bhagwan Dass Bagri and another

..... Respondents

4. CRM-M-39573 of 2017

Sandeep Jain

..... Petitioner

Versus

Geeta Rani and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Anupam Gupta, Advocate for
Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Goripuria, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

These petitions are directed against the impugned order passed by

Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad on 21.09.2017.

Learned counsel for the respondent-complainant submits that on account of pendency of the present petitions, the trial in the Ld. Court below is being unnecessarily delayed and in the given circumstances, he has no objection, if the prayer of the petitioner-accused is allowed so as to restore the trial proceedings.

In view of the above submission, the matter is disposed off with a direction that operation of the impugned order passed by the Ld. Trial Court shall remain stayed for a period of 10 days from today. In the meantime, the petitioner shall surrender before the Ld. Trial Court and seek fresh bail.

After the aforesaid date, this order shall automatically stand vacated.

Ld. Trial Court is further directed to complete the trial as expeditiously as possible and preferably within two months from the date of communication of this order since it transpires that evidence in the case has already been completed.

29.01.2018

sahil soni

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

2. Whether reportable: Yes/No