

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38965 of 2017

Date of Decision: 10.01.2018

SUKHJIWAN SINGH AND ORS

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.P.S. Gill, AAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.(Oral)

Reply by way of affidavit of Shubeg Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4 has been filed in the Court today. The same is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Head Constable Tarsem Singh submits that the petitioners namely Sukhjiwan Singh, Kiranjit Singh, Gurpreet Singh and Bedi Singh are not required for any purpose by the police as the First Information Report No.93 dated 18.09.2017 under Section 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and 25/27 of the Arms Act at Police Station Badhni Kalan has been registered against Navdeep Singh, Gagandeep Singh, Amandeep Singh and one unknown person regarding the murder of one Avrinder Singh.

In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the instant petition has rendered infructuous at this stage and may be disposed of as such.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

**[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE**

January 10, 2018

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no