

Sr. No.105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39848-2018
Date of decision:12.09.2018**

Sandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Gagan Oberoi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

Present petition has been filed seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.117, dated 24.05.2018 registered under Sections 381/120-B of IPC at Police Station Dugri, Ludhiana, Punjab.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations levelled against the husband of the petitioner are that he has stolen the jewellery from the house of the complainant; where he was employed as a driver. Investigation has shown that the proceeds of the theft have come to the accounts of the petitioner, as well and her husband; the main accused. Police proceedings also shows that due inquiry was conducted by the police in the matter and during that it was found that the petitioner and her husband had brought huge amounts in their bank accounts; at the same time as that of the theft. It has further been found that there has not been major transactions in those accounts either prior to the incident or subsequent thereto. The petitioner and her husband had purchased Car and House also during this interregnum only. Still further it has come in the police report

that there is witness named Bhupinder Pal who deposed that the husband of the petitioner contacted him for selling the stolen jewellery.

In view of these facts, it would not be appropriate to scuttle the proper investigation by the police. This Court does not find any illegality or perversity in the Order passed by the Court below, whereby the anticipatory bail application of the present petitioner has been rejected.

Dismissed.

12th September, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*