

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CRM-M-39830-2018

Date of Decision: September 12, 2018

Bhupinder Singh Grewal and others

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Duggall, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners allege that some civil disputes are pending between them and respondent No.6. The said respondent is attempting to get the same compromised through respondents No.4 and 5 who are the police officials. The petitioners cannot be forced to compromise any dispute against their wishes and the action of respondents No.4 and 5 in threatening and intimidating the petitioners to settle the civil dispute with respondent No.6 is not permissible in law. Despite representation dated 06.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-7), respondents No.2 to 4 are not taking any action.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. In view of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No.4 to 6.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the representation dated 06.09.2018 (Anneuxre P-7) and in case his enquiry reveals that respondents No.4 and 5 are involved in intimidating the petitioners, suitable action be taken within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. Meanwhile, it shall be ensured that no harm comes to the petitioners.

September 12, 2018

*sonia arora***[SUDHIR MITTAL]****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No