

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39772-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 19.9.2018.

Dinesh Kumar

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH.

Present: - Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

....

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

This is a petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 106 dated 1.8.2017 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code and 3 of the HPIDFE Act, 2014, registered at Police Station Sohna, Gurugram, Haryana.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner was one of the employees of the company and he has already resigned.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has given a document i.e. Memorandum and the Articles of Association stating that petitioner is one of the directors of the company and the total investment made by the complainant was 6.43 crores. Therefore, huge amount of money has been cheated by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner was confronted this fact, he stated that his signatures were obtained on the blank papers and now on his asking his name has been removed.

Therefore, prima facie, Some what way, it comes out that the petitioner was one of the Director of the company. He cheated the complainant at the relevant time. His plea that his signatures were obtained on the blank papers is to be verified by the police during the course of investigation.

Keeping in view the fact that huge amount is involved and considering the *modus operandi* of the accused, there is no ground to grant anticipatory bail to the present petitioner.

Dismissed.

19.9.2018	(KULDIP SINGH)
preeti	JUDGE
whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
whether reportable	yes/no