

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-39758 of 2018

Date of Decision: September 19, 2018

Amandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sanjeev S. Virk, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners seek protection of their life and liberty as they fear for the same at the hands of the private respondents as they are in live-in-relationship. Petitioner No. 1 was earlier married with respondent No. 6 and has three years old male child. She says that she has divorced respondent No. 6 by way of panchayati divorce.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 4 to 8.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to ensure that the life and liberty of petitioners is protected and that no harm is caused to them by respondents No. 4 to 8. This order shall not, however, be construed as a restraint against any criminal/civil proceedings emanating from the relationship of the petitioners.

September 19, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**