

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3974 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 09.02.2018

Resham Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Vishal Deep Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. A.G., Punjab
assisted by ASI Pardeep Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No.24 dated 20.03.2016, registered under Sections 307, 452, 448, 511, 294, 506, 455, 148 read with Section 149 of IPC and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, at Police Station Hathur, District Ludhiana.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely roped in the present case. As per allegations, only lalkara is attributed to the petitioner. The conclusion of trial will take a long time. The petitioner is in custody since 21.05.2016.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the

bail application.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

This is the third bail petition filed on behalf of the petitioner. The learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to point out any fresh circumstance in favour of the petitioner. Considering the nature of offence, no case for regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

However, keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 21.05.2016, the trial Court is directed to conclude the trial expeditiously.

09.02.2018
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No