

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**

**AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-39733 of 2018**

**Date of Decision: 12.09.2018**

Narinder Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent(s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA**

Present:- Mr. J.S. Sidhu, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**HARI PAL VERMA, J. (Oral)**

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of directions to respondents No.2 to 4 to provide adequate security and protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner, who anticipates grave and imminent threat at the hands of respondents no.5 to 10. A further direction has been sought to direct respondents no.2 and 3 not to implicate the petitioner in any false criminal case.

As per the representation dated 14.07.2018 (Annexure P-3/T), stated to have been submitted by the petitioner to Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala, Darshan Singh - respondent no.5 allegedly borrowed Rs.8 lakhs from the petitioner and thereafter, he refused to return the said money. With the intervention of respectable persons, a compromise was arrived at between the petitioner and respondent no.5, whereupon,

respondent no.5 issued a cheque amounting to Rs.8 lakhs in favour of the petitioner. However, when the said cheque was submitted for encashment, it was dishonoured on account of insufficient funds. For this offence, the petitioner has already filed a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short, "the Act") against respondent no.5 - Darshan Singh and trial in the case is pending.

It has been alleged that the respondents-accused are filing complaints against the petitioner and harassing him physically and mentally and are threatening to kill the petitioner.

Admittedly, the petitioner has already invoked the proceedings under Section 138 of the Act against respondent no.5 – Darshan Singh, which are pending trial and for the alleged harassment and threats, the petitioner has remedy to avail in accordance with law and therefore, this Court is not inclined to entertain this petition.

Dismissed.

September 12, 2018  
**AK**

**( HARI PAL VERMA )**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No