

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39687-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-11.9.2018

Gurdial Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioners.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.(Oral)

Today, at the very outset, the learned counsel submits that he withdraws his prayer for quashing of the FIR at this stage. He has, however, pressed upon his alternate prayer for directing respondent No.1 to submit a status report as regards the FIR in question.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the FIR has been lodged by suppressing material facts and that in fact the complainant is not the owner of the land in question where petitioners are alleged to have entered and harvested his crop. The learned counsel has further submitted that in fact an inquiry had been conducted by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur who had found that the complainant is not the owner of the property in question.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petition is disposed of with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur to ensure that the matter is inquired into and investigated expeditiously and a final report is submitted at the earliest preferably within

a period of 3 months from today. A copy of this order be sent to Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

11.9.2018

pankaj

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No