

**266 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.39649 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.11.2018

Ranjit Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. L.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Sandhu, AAG, Punjab

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 4 for taking legal action on the complaint filed by the petitioner before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Batala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is senior citizen and a retired government officer. It is further submitted that husband of the petitioner expired on 05.01.2016 and he was holding moveable and immoveable property situated in village Dhirowal, Sri Hargobindpur, District Batala. Learned counsel further submits that the said property was inherited by the husband of the petitioner from his father and half of the property was transferred in the name of his grandson Surinder Pal Singh son of late Gurnam Singh Dhirowal. It is also submitted that the property of Gurnam Singh was inherited by two daughters and a son of the petitioner as per revenue record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that

after the death of husband of the petitioner, the son of the petitioner as well

as his wife became very cruel to the petitioner and ousted from her house in village Dhirowal and presently she is residing with her daughter in Chandigarh. It is further submitted that the petitioner has given an application on 30.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) to respondent No.2 for taking legal action against her son and daughter-in-law.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon certain revenue records to submit that the petitioner is co-sharer in agricultural land situated in village Dhirowal which shows that the petitioner is a co-sharer in certain land.

Reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, District Gurdaspur is filed. As per reply, on receiving the representation, the same was entrusted to Superintendent of Police (Ops.), Batala for enquiry and report. During the enquiry, Enquiry Officer had summoned both the parties and recorded their statements as well as statement of the revenue officials of the concerned village. It is further stated that from the revenue record, it was found that the petitioner is co-sharer with other family members and there is a family dispute over the possession among themselves and the matter is found to be purely of civil nature for which the petitioner has a remedy before the Civil Court.

In view of the same, no further action is required in the present petition.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

15.11.2018
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No