

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. M-39610 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 25, 2018

Raju

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Senior DAG Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

This is a petition that has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.31 dated 01.03.2016, under Sections 376/149 of Indian Penal Code, Sections 3/4 of POCSO Act and Sections 5/9 of Immoral Traffic Act, registered at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is argued that the allegations levelled against the petitioner are general and vague in nature. It is also contended that there is no medical evidence regarding the alleged rape upon the complainant.

Per contra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State as well as complainant submit that in the aforesaid FIR, 08 persons had been named and 04 of them stands convicted by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda by the judgment dated 23.03.2018.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that the petitioner herein had absconded and had evaded the trial along with others and also taking note that the other co-accused stands convicted, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

The petition in hand stands dismissed.

October 25, 2018

vijay saini

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No