

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CRM-M-39396-2018(O&M)

Date of Decision: September 10, 2018

Sukhpal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that even though his brother had been disinherited by their father a long time back, the police is harassing him on account of an offence allegedly committed by his brother. Despite representation dated 18.08.2018 (Anneuxre P-3) no action is being taken and the petitioner is unnecessarily harassed.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab., accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. In view of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No.4 and 5.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 18.08.2018 (Anneuxre P-3) and take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 10, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No