

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.38389 of 2017
Date of Decision: 04.04.2018**

Rahul Rana and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Surender Dhull, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vashisht, DAG, Haryana.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are accused in F.I.R. No.179, dated 08.05.2017, under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, (Annexure P-1), have prayed for quashing of F.I.R. with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, vide report dated

12.12.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. In view of the report of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panchkula, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[4]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.179, dated 08.05.2017, under Sections 120-B, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

04.04.2018
Divyanshi

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No