

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.38361 of 2017

Date of Decision:- 24.01.2018

Shashi Gupta and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Harsh Manocha, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J.,

1. Learned Deputy Advocate General on instructions from ASI-Surjit Ram, states that in view of compromise Annexure P-3 arrived at between Parishek Gupta, son of the petitioners and complainant-Nidhi Gupta, CRM-M No.46864 of 2017 was allowed vide order dated 20.12.2017, FIR No.21 dated 01.12.2014, registered under Sections 406/498-A IPC at Police Station NRI PS, District SBS Nagar, Rupnagar (Annexure P-1), was quashed, therefore, the petition for pre-arrest bail has become infructuous.

2. Statement of learned Deputy Advocate General is taken on record. Accordingly, the petition for pre-arrest bail is dismissed as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

January 24, 2018

sahil soni

Note:-

Whether the order is speaking/reasoned: Yes / No

Whether the order is reportable:- Yes / No