

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39253-2018

Date of Decision:20.09.2018

Jagdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Ajay Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail under the provision of Section 438 of Cr.P.C in case FIR No.0068 dated 27.03.2018 registered under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, Section 24 of Immigration Act and Section 6, 7 of Punjab Travel Professional Act at Police Station Dera Bassi, District Mohali.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has been falsely implicated. There is no material to show that petitioner's involvement relating to sending the complainant abroad and acceptance of Rs.14,00,000/- by him and father of the petitioner. Further it is submitted that he had not issued any VISA to the complainant.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Narinder Singh argued with reference to reply by way of affidavit of Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Dera Bassi, District SAS Nagar wherein he has specifically alleged the allegations against the petitioner and his father relating to duping the complainant's money to the tune of Rs.14,00,000/- and issuance of fake and forged documents like VISA. It was also pointed out that there was some understanding between the complainant and the petitioner vide Annexure R-1 relating to settlement

among the parties.

4. Contents of the reply read with Annexure R-1 which is duly enclosed by petitioner, it is evident that there are serious allegations made against the petitioner insofar as duping the complainant's money to the tune of Rs.14,00,000/- and so also alleged to have created forged documents like VISA. Therefore, it is a matter of custodial interrogation. Petitioner has not made out a case so as to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail.

5. Petition stands dismissed accordingly.

20.09.2018
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned **Yes/No**

Whether reportable **Yes/No**