

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-39154-2018

Date of Decision: September 10, 2018

Nagender

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Lajpat Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has strained relationship with his wife. She stole his pistol and some other important documents and thereafter, she as well as some of her family members attacked the petitioner. A representation dated 03.08.2018 (Anneuxre P-2) has been submitted in this regard, but the Police has not taken any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only.

Mr. S.P. Singh, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3. Let four copies of the complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. In view of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to private respondents.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to objectively consider the representation dated 03.08.2018 (Anneuxre P-2) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, in case it is found necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

September 10, 2018

sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No