

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No.M-3913 of 2018

Date of decision : 05.02.2018

Som @ Somi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

Through the instant petition the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.157, dated 01.07.2017, registered under Sections 376, 366-A, 354-A, 354-B, 34 IPC and Section 4 of POCSO Act, P.S. Baroda, District Sonapat.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that challan was presented and charge was framed and all the prosecution witnesses including the complainant have not supported the prosecution version but the prosecution has not closed its evidence and the trial would take time and bail may be allowed meanwhile.

The State counsel opposes the prayer and urges that the offence was committed with a minor.

All the private witnesses have not supported the prosecution

version and had turned hostile. Their statements have been made available.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioners are directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

05.02.2018

sunil

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No