

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39087 of 2018

Date of decision: September 18, 2018

Shakeb Alam Khan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Raghav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J (Oral)

The FIR No.33325024170926 dated 13.12.2017 has been registered against the petitioner under Section 420 & 34 IPC, at PS Durg Kotwali, District Durg (Chattisgarh). He has been directed by the concerned police to join investigation, he apprehends that in case he goes to join investigation he shall be arrested. He seeks transit anticipatory bail for a period of 20 days by placing reliance upon ***Mahesh Kumar Sarda @ Maheswari vs. Union of India, 2000(4) RCR (Criminal) 129.***

Learned State counsel submits that the aforementioned authority does support the case of the petitioner.

In the case of ***Mahesh Kumar Sarda @ Maheswari (supra)***, it has been held that High Court/Court of Sessions can grant transit anticipatory bail where an offence has been committed outside its jurisdiction to enable the accused person to appear before the Court having jurisdiction and to get the appropriate relief.

Thus, the petition is accepted and the petitioner-Shakeb Alam

Khan is granted transit anticipatory bail for a period of 20 days from today.

It is directed that in the event of his arrest in the aforementioned FIR during the said period, he shall be released on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer concerned enabling him to approach the jurisdictional/Competent Court, if he so desires.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

September 18, 2018
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No