

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-39075 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 22, 2018

Mohd. Sahrukh @ Sarukh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Shakti Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2/Complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Santosh, Police Station Kundli submits that in compliance of orders dated 07.09.2018 the petitioner has since joined investigation on 11.09.2018 and that nothing is to be recovered from him and has not opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner. Together with the fact that complainant and the petitioner have since then married each other which fact is conceded to at bar by the learned counsel for the complainant.

In view thereof *ad interim* orders dated 07.09.2018 are made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition stands disposed off.

**(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE**

October 22, 2018

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No