

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-39002 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 14, 2018

Mohd. Kaptan and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Original affidavits of Mohd. Kaptan and Sarida @ Sanjida taken on record. As per affidavit of Mohd. Kaptan, he was earlier married with Firdosh daughter of Sher Mohd. Resident of Village Mayapur, Tehsil Tijara, District Alwar. In her affidavit, Sarida @ Sanjida has stated that she was earlier married with Ismail son of Wahid, resident of Dhiranki Tehsil Hathin, District Palwal, who had divorced her.

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that due to typographical mistake, Firdosh has been mentioned as son of Sher Mohd. Instead of daughter of Sher Mohd. He confines the relief claimed in this petition for direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 01.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In view of above submission of learned counsel for petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Palwal, to look into the representation dated 01.09.2018 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action in accordance with

law, on receipt of copy of this order along with representation in his office. He will also verify the factum of earlier marriages of petitioners as mentioned in their affidavits.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

September 14, 2018
Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>