

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SUNIL
2018.11.14 14:28
Fattest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-38919-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 13.11.2018

Kuldip Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

STATE OF PUNJAB & ANR.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur, Advocate for Mr. K.K. Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

--

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Counsel for the complainant says that recovery is still to be effected. Counsel says that a sum of Rs.3 lacs was withdrawn by them from their own account and given in cash.

State counsel informs that the petitioner had joined the investigation and challan has been presented and the trial has commenced. State counsel further says that no photographs were handed over to them and all the documents had been filed with the challan.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 04.11.2016 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure. The complainant will have to prove at the trial that any cash was handed over.

13.11.2018

Sunil

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No