

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38984-2018

Date of Decision: 24.09.2018

Suraj

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Amandeep Singh Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Akshita Chauhan, A.A.G., Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 13.09.2018, today Mr. Gulati, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, submits that he has verified that as a matter of fact only 02 prosecution witnesses out of 28 remain to be examined, with the next date of hearing before the trial Court being 28.09.2018.

However, both these witnesses are stated to be not police officials but relative of the deceased.

That being so, with only 02 prosecution witnesses out of 28 remaining to be examined, I see no reason to admit the petitioner to bail at this stage.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed but with a direction that the trial Court would ensure that all steps as are necessary are taken to ensure the presence of the said witnesses, failing which, naturally, the trial Court would proceed with the trial expeditiously and conclude it within a period of three months from today, the petitioner already having been in custody for the past one

year and five months.

A copy of this order be sent to the trial Court immediately.

September 24, 2018
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No.