

Hardeep Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Navjinder Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. R.S. Bains, DAG Punjab

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by way of a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of direction for entrustment of investigation of FIR No. 195 dated 21.08.2017 registered at Police Station Sadar Ludhiana, District Ludhiana under Sections 323, 324, 452, 506, 34 IPC to some other independent agency.

Reply on behalf of the State has been filed in which it is stated that Section 452 IPC was deleted as on the basis of the investigation and statements of witnesses it came to light that a fight took place in street and not inside the house of the petitioner. Thereafter, the accused-respondents No. 5 and 6 were arrested and bailed out. On their complaint a cross case under the same provisions of law has also been registered against the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the police is lenient towards the accused-respondents No. 5 and 6 and the fact that a cross case has been registered against the petitioner is proof of this fact.

The submission of learned counsel does not appeal to me. Merely

because a cross case has been registered against the petitioner, it can not be said that

the

CrI. Misc. No. M-38006 of 2017(O&M)

-2-

police is biased against him. In any case, in case the petitioner has a grievance against the investigation, he can approach the trial Court.

The challan already stands presented against the accused-respondents No. 5 and 6 and the trial is in progress. Thus, there is no need for any further direction in this case.

The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

November 14, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No