

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

284

CRM-M No.37974 of 2017

Date of Decision: March 26th, 2018

Balwant Singh and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sartej S. Narula, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Kumar Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate
for the complainant.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the complainant Ms. Divya Godara, Advocate, has informed the Court that inadvertently her presence has been marked on behalf of Mr. S.S. Narula, Advocate, whereas she is appearing for the complainant.

Perusal of the record indicates that there apparently is a mistake in the order dated 19.01.2018.

Counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have cooperated with the investigation and have even given consent for the polygraphic test, which fact is not disputed by the counsel for the State, on instructions from Inspector Kewal Singh, Police Station City Thanesar, Kurukshetra.

Counsel for the complainant also could not dispute the said fact.

In the light of the above, the order dated 02.11.2017 is modified to the extent that the petitioner shall be enlarged on bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer.

Petitioner shall comply with the provisions as contained in
Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands disposed of with above directions/observations.

March 26th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No