

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-37968-2017

Date of decision : 23.01.2018

Ranjit Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Rubani J.Singh, Advocate
for Mr.Manoj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By this petition, FIR No.92 dated 22.10.2011, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Jhansa, District Kurukshetra, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 325, 452/34 of the IPC, is sought to be quashed on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the petitioners (accused) and respondent no. 2, i.e. the complainant.

Vide an order of this Court dated 10.10.2017, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded, in terms of the compromise.

Pursuant to the order of this Court dated 10.10.2017, the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Kurukshetra, has been received stating to the effect that the statements on the complainant side of Karnail Singh, Mastan Singh, Kamal, Gurbaksh, Satpal, Vicky, Jaswinder,

Jaswinder Kaur, Balwinder Kaur, Nishan Singh and Ajaib Kaur were recorded, with the said persons having stated that the compromise entered into between the parties is wholly voluntarily, without any pressure and coercion.

It is seen that of aforesaid persons actually respondent no.2, i.e. Satpal Singh, was the person at whose instance the FIR was registered.

The statements of the petitioners, Ranjeet Singh, Kashmir Singh and Lovejeet Singh, are also stated to have been recorded, as per the report of the learned JMIC.

The cross FIR stated to have been registered at the instance of the petitioners against Satpal Singh and others, also having been quashed as per learned counsel for the petitioners as also learned counsel for appearing for respondent no.2, in the opinion of this Court, it would be pointless to continue with criminal proceedings, the parties having fully compromised the matter of their own free will.

Consequently, the petition is allowed and FIR No.92 dated 22.10.2011, registered against the petitioners at Police Station Jhansa, District Kurukshetra, for the alleged commission of offences punishable under Sections 323, 325, 452/34 of the IPC, along with all proceedings emanating therefrom, is hereby quashed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

January 23, 2018.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No