

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-389 of 2018
Date of Decision: 15.01.2018

MEGH SHYAM

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.542 dated 20.08.2016 registered under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Palwal, District Palwal.

It is contended that except PW1, none of the PW's are supporting the prosecution case and deposition of PW1 is not material as he was not present at the time of occurrence.

Learned counsel further submits that out of 18 witnesses only 10 witnesses have been examined. The above factual aspect of the matter has not been disputed by the learned State counsel.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper book.

Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 20.08.2016 and the trial is likely to take a long time, hence no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more. Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted.

Petitioner-Megh Shyam be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

January 15, 2018
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	yes/no
Whether reportable?	yes/no