

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-3886 of 2018

Date of Decision: January 30, 2018

M/s MRPC Petro Company

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Bipan Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate
for the petitioner

-. -

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed seeking directions for fair investigation of the case FIR No. 439 dated 27.11.2017 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Focal Point, Ludhiana and to take action against respondents No. 4 and 5.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No. 1 to 3. Let four copies of petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order that is being passed there is no necessity to issue notice to private respondents No. 4 and 5 at this stage.

According to the contents of the FIR, respondents No. 4 and 5 have supplied Auto Rickshaws to the petitioners which have been further sold to the general public but the said Auto Rickshaws are not being registered by the appropriate authority because they do not comply with the specifications provided by Auto Rickshaw Reserved Association of India, Pune.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is

~~disposed of~~ with directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to take appropriate action in

accordance with law on the said FIR and complete its investigation within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

January 30, 2018
reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No