

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-37900-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 04.09.2018

Bhagat Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.Doan, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Ashwinder Kaur, Advocate for

Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.(ORAL)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for quashing of FIR No. 598 dated 28.11.2013 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mujesar, District Faridabad and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that main accused in the matter has filed CRM-M-1410-2015 in which FIR has been quashed vide order dated 22.03.2016 (Annexure P-5). It was also submitted that petitioner is only attesting witness of the agreement to sell of the property. As proceedings qua the main accused has already been quashed and the petitioner's role is limited. Further there is no chance of conviction against the petitioner because main accused is already out of proceeding.

3. In view of the aforesaid submission which is not disputed by learned State counsel, FIR No. 598 dated 28.11.2013 under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Mujesar,

District Faridabad is set aside qua the petitioner with reference to compromise with the main accused.

04.09.2018

pooja saini

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/ No*

Whether reportable? *Yes / No*