

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38821-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 28.11.2018

Ankit and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr.P.P. Chahar, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kuldeep Choudhary, Advocate,
for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking quashing of FIR No. 57 dated 26.05.2017 under Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Women Police Station Jind, District Jind (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties.

The aforesaid FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.3. However, now with the intervention of respectable persons, the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties. An affidavit has also been furnished by respondent No.2 to the

effect that petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 are residing together and does not want to pursue the aforesaid FIR against the petitioners and would have no objection in case the FIR against the petitioners is quashed.

Keeping in view the fact that the parties have entered into a compromise, they were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. In pursuance of the direction, a report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jind, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same appears to be genuine one.

Mr.P.P. Chahar, learned DAG, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, and learned counsel for the complainant/respondent Nos.2 & 3 admit to the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, they would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR, in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the rival parties and gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh & others vs. State of Punjab & another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, this petition is allowed, FIR No. 57 dated 26.05.2017 under Sections 3 & 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act, 1961, registered at Women Police Station Jind, District Jind (Annexure P-1) and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

28.11.2018

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes.

Whether reportable

No.