

Sr. No.204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37858-2017(O&M)
Date of decision:12.07.2018**

Geeta Saini

.....Petitioner

versus

**The District Appropriate Authority, Ludhiana and another
.....Respondents**

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. R.K.Handa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. Priyanka Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. DBS Sobti, Advocate
for the respondent.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

CRM-40376-2017

In view of the order passed in the main case, this application
has been rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

CRM-M-37858-2017

Present petition has been filed for seeking anticipatory bail
under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in Complaint No. COMI/237 dated
19.04.2017 for the offences punishable under Sections 3(3),5(2),6,23, 25, 29
of the Pre Conception and Pre Natal Diagnostic Techniques(Prohibition of
Sex Selection) Act, 1994 & Rule 9(4),b(6) & 9(7) of the Pre Conception
and Pre Natal Diagnostic Techniques(Prohibition of Sex Selection) Rules,
1996 and Sections 420 & 120-B IPC and summoning order dated

04.05.2017 passed against the petitioner under Section 23 & 25 of the Pre Conception and Pre Natal Diagnostic Techniques(Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994- 120-B IPC(Annexure P-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the Order dated 09.10.2017, the petitioner has already appeared before the Trial Court.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI, Surinder Singh submits that he does not dispute this fact that the petitioner has appeared before the Trial Court.

In view of the above, interim Order dated 09.10.2017 is made absolute.

12th July, 2018

Shivani Kaushik

**[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*