

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37806-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 27.08.2018

Sukhpal Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. G.L. Bajaj, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Jaspreet Kaur, A.A.G., Punjab.

Complainant in person with
Mr. Balwinder Singh Jolly, Advocate.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J(ORAL)

Efforts for mediation earlier had failed. There was a dispute as to who had contributed for the purchase of the property.

Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the copy of the sale deed and gives the details of the cheque vide which part payment had been made. Respondent No.2/complainant was unable to show that any cheque had been given by her.

Learned State counsel informs that the gold articles had been returned by the petitioner but the complainant refused to identify them. The petitioner would hand over all the articles which are in his possession to the police.

Looking to all these circumstances, but without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and order dated 27.02.2018 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438 Sub Section 2 Clauses (i)(ii) and (iii) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

27.08.2018

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No