

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204

CRM-M-37712-2017

Date of Decision: February 09, 2018

Jaswinderjit Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Simble, Advocate
for the complainant.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.83 dated 31.05.2014, registered at P.S. City Batala, District Gurdaspur, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120B IPC.

2. The allegations in this FIR are that the petitioner conspired with some others to prepare forged and fabricated Will of one Charanjit Singh son of Darbara Singh to deprive the widow and children from an earlier marriage of Charanjit Singh from inheriting his property.

3. Notice of motion was issued vide order dated 09.10.2017 and anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the State

submits that pursuant to the said order, the petitioner has joined investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is neither a beneficiary of the Will nor a marginal witness. He further, submits that the signature of Charanjit Singh on the Will has been compared twice with the admitted signatures of said Charanjit Singh. On both the occasions, the signatures on the will have been found to be genuine. He further submits that the beneficiary of the Will i.e. Jagjit Singh son of Jaspal Singh, has already been granted regular bail and so have the marginal witness. The same has been granted to one of the marginal witness as has been granted anticipatory bail.

5. Learned counsel for the State submits that investigation is still going on.

6. Keeping in view the fact that the evidence is in this case is mainly documentary in nature and that the beneficiary and the marginal witness have already been released on bail, it would be just and appropriate to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner as well.

7. Accordingly, interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 09.10.2017, is hereby made absolute.

8. The petition stands disposed of.

February 09, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No