

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38596-2018

Date of decision: 05.09.2018

Sandeep Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present: Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed by the petitioner for issuance of a direction to official respondent Nos. 2 & 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 12. In this regard the petitioner has also approached the authorities by filing representation dated 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) but till date no action has been taken by respondent No.2 on the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if respondent No.2 be directed to look into the representation dated 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) and take action in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Gautam Dutt, learned APP for U.T., Chandigarh, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 2 & 3. Three copies of the petition be handed over to him

by learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day failing which no action is called for. He submits that the representation dated 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-1) will be decided within a period of four weeks.

Heard.

Without going into the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 30.08.2018 (Annexure P-1), within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order and take necessary action in accordance with law.

05.09.2018

Satyawan

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes.
No.