

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37605-2017

Date of decision:-11.1.2018

Sazid

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE H.S.MADAAN

Present: Mr.Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

H.S. MADAAN, J.

This petition for regular bail has been filed by petitioner –
Sazid – an accused in FIR No.287 dated 2.6.2017, under Sections 5, 6 of
Explosive Substances Act, 1908 and Sections 420, 467 and 468 IPC,
registered with Police Station Sector-55, District Faridabad on the
allegations that on 2.6.2017, the police party intercepted the Verna car
being driven by him in the area of Pali Crusher Zone Road, Faridabad;

that on being searched the plastic bag lying therein was found to contain, 200 gulle gun powder, 250 batti and topi gun powder, DAP Gun powder wire and one packet topi. The car in question was found to have a fake registration number. Accused was arrested and after completion of investigation and other formalities, challan against the accused has been filed in the Court. The accused had filed an application for regular bail in the Court of Sessions, which was declined by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Faridabad vide order dated 17.8.2017, as such, he has approached this Court with same request.

Notice of the petition was given to respondent – State and counsel representing the State has put in appearance.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties besides going through the record and I am of the considered view that in view of the heavy quantity of the explosive substances recovered from the petitioner, in addition to the fact that the petitioner/accused was driving the Verna car carrying such explosives substances and such Verna car was having a fake registration number, that compounds the guilt of the accused. The apprehension expressed by the State counsel that if petitioner is granted bail, there is every likelihood to his absconding and even tampering with the prosecution evidence cannot be brushed aside lightly. The trial against the petitioner is stated to have begin and his guilt shall be determined during the trial. Therefore, I do not find any merit in the petition.

Therefore, finding no merit in the petition, the same stands dismissed.

However, while parting with the discussion, the trial Court is

directed to conclude the trial expeditiously preferably within a period of six months from the date of receipt of copy of this Court in the said Court.

11.1.2018

Brij

(H.S.MADAAN)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No