

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38459-2018

Date of Decision: 22.11.2018

Harpreet Singh @ Sunny

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Ms. Mandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. D.S.Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S.Khinda, Advocate
for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN,J

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.104 dated 03.05.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Ranbir Singh @ Jodha has been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 08.08.2018 passed in CRM-M-33109-2018.

The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as under:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record photocopy of the admission record of the injured/victim Ranjit Singh dated 23.04.2018, in which it is reported that it is a case of alleged history of roadside accident.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.06.2018 and the FIR was registered after about 11 days, when the doctor declared the injured/victim fit to make statement and has specifically named four other co-

accused, who caused injuries to him, whereas the petitioner is not attributed any injury.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Lakhwinder Singh, submits that all the five accused persons have been arrested, investigation is complete and the challan will be presented soon.”

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 12.06.2018; charges are yet to be framed; petitioner is not required for further investigation; he is not involved in any other case and conclusion of the trial will take long time.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajender Kumar, and assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, has not disputed the factual position, however, he submits that the petitioner is the main accused.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Without commenting anything on merits of the case, considering the fact that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 12.06.2018 and he is not involved in any other case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to furnishing his bail/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate.

22.11.2018
smriti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No