

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc. No.M-38345 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 04.09.2018

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 to expeditiously decide the pending representation dated 01.06.2018 (Annexure P-1), submitted by the petitioner.

The allegations levelled in the petition are that the petitioner purchased some rusk and bakery items from respondent No.5 but those were of not good quality and were having expired dates. It is asserted that goods have not been returned yet respondent No.5 did not refund the price money of the returned goods.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner at length and going through the record, this Court is of the considered opinion that the matter in controversy is purely contractual and of civil nature. It does not fall within the ambit of Section 482 Cr.P.C. and is an abuse of process of law.

Therefore, no case to issue direction to respondent Nos.2 and 3 is made out.

As such, the instant petition stands dismissed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

04.09.2018

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No