

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-374-2017

Decided on: 30.08.2018

Ram Avtar and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAAN

Present: Mr. Jitender Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Manish Kumar Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

H.S. MADAAN, J (ORAL)

This petition for quashing of FIR No.66 dated 14.09.2016 under Sections 34, 323, 406, 498-A and 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Hisar and all subsequent proceedings thereto have been filed by petitioners Ram Avtar-husband and Sandeep Saini unmarried brother-in-law of complainant.

My attention has been brought to interim order dated 12.01.2017, wherein it is recorded that learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset does not press the petition qua petitioner No.2 and restrict his prayer qua petitioner No.1, who was unmarried brother-in-law of complainant and was residing separately with his parents.

There appears to be some typographical mistake inasmuch as petitioner No.2-Sandeep Saini is actually unmarried brother-in-law of complainant-respondent No.2 Saroj. On completion of investigation, challan has been filed and rather charge has been framed and now the trial is going.

The petitioners can take all the pleas available to them during the trial and I do not see any ground to quash the FIR and other proceedings qua the petitioners.

The present petition is dismissed, accordingly.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

30.08.2018
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No