

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38289-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-04.09.2018.

Jagjeet Singh @ Pappu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Harjot Singh Bains, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In this petition, petitioner has sought for anticipatory bail in case FIR No.100 dated 25.07.2018 under Sections 66 (E) and 67 of the Information Technology Act, 2000, registered at Police Station Bhikhi District Mansa, Punjab.

2.) Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that petitioner has not committed any offence as stated in the FIR in respect of Section 66 (E) and 34 of the Information Technology Act, 2000. Petitioner has only beaten the complainant. Perusal of the FIR, no doubt petitioner has beaten the complainant, the other 2 accused were taking video of the complainant and others and, other scenes. Further it is to be noted that the video clippings were stated to have been spread through internet and whats-app group.

Therefore, petitioner's custodial interrogation is required so as to ascertain what was the role played and motive of the petitioner in respect of

circulating the video clipping of the complainant through internet and whats-app group. Thus, petitioner has not made out a case for anticipatory bail and it is rejected.

3.) Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

September 04, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.