

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-3722-2017

Date of Decision:26.09.2018

Kawal Sharma

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

**Present: Mr. Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Bhargava, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Surinder Pal Singh Tinna, Addl. A.G., Punjab,
for respondent Nos.1 to 5.**

**Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.6 to 8.**

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is for issuance of appropriate directions to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to protect/safeguard the life and liberty of the petitioner at the instance of respondent Nos.5 to 8.

Reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Hardev Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Majitha, Amritsar-Rural, has already been filed and in paragraph 4 of the reply, it has been averred that the petitioner is not being caused any kind of harassment either by the private respondents or by the local police. The petitioner has not demanded any kind of security from the police and he has been asked if in future, he

need any kind of security or assistance on the part of the police, he can

approach the local police at any time.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the reply submitted by respondent Nos.1 to 5, it is apparent that the petitioner without approaching the police, has straightway approached this Court. Even otherwise, on the basis of reply so submitted, it is evident that the petitioner is not being caused any kind of harassment. Therefore, this Court finds that the petitioner has no cause of action to file the present petition for the relief claimed.

Dismissed.

However, in case the petitioner apprehend any danger to his life and liberty, he can approach the concerned authorities.

September 26, 2018
seema

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No