

Sudha Jain and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.S. Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed for issuance of directions to respondents No. 4 and 5 not to harass the petitioners at the behest of respondents No. 6 to 8.

The averments in the petition reveal that the petitioners had agreed to sell their property to respondents No. 6 to 8 but the deal did not materialize and, thus, the same property was sold to third party. Respondents No. 6 to 8 have filed a suit for specific performance against the petitioners, which is pending and the petitioners have put in appearance therein and have also filed their written statement. Even though the suit is pending, the private respondents are harassing the petitioners through respondents No. 4 and 5, who are stated to be in cahoots with respondents No. 6 to 8.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 5 only.

Mr. J.S. Walia, Sr. DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 5. Let six copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notices to respondents No. 6 to 8.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to enquire into the matter on the basis of documents already available with respondent No. 4 and in case it is found that the petitioners are being harassed unnecessarily suitable remedial action be taken within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. The petitioners are also at liberty to supply a copy of the present petition to respondent No. 2, which shall be treated as a representation.

September 04, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No