

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.3812 of 2018
Date of Decision: 28.03.2018**

**Naveen Sharma
Vs**

.....Petitioner

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present: Mr. N.L. Sammi, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Tondon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. M.D. Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

Petitioner seeks grant of regular bail under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case bearing FIR No.604 dated 15.10.2017 registered under Section 21 of NDPS Act and Section 18-C of Cosmetic Act and Section 61 of NDPS (added later on) at Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Co-accused Paramjit Singh was arrested on the basis of secret information. 50 strips of Iomitol tablets each containing 20 tablets totaling 1000 tablets, 22 strips of Galprex 0.5 tablets each containing 15 tablets totaling 330 tablets, 56 tablets of Nitrovet-10 and two boxes of Spasmo Proxyvon plus each

containing 18 strips containing 8 capsules totaling 288 capsules were recovered from co-accused Paramjit Singh. In the disclosure statement of Paramjit Singh, mobile number of the petitioner came to fore. Paramjit Singh used to purchase tablets/capsules on the basis of mobile calls. Petitioner was arrested on 06.12.2017 and as per his disclosure statement, he used to collect the aforesaid tablets/capsules from one Mulla of Sarsawa.

Learned State Counsel on instructions from ASI Sajjan Singh submitted that police has not contacted or joined the said Mulla whose name has not been disclosed by anyone.

Co-accused Paramjit Singh is a licensee. He was arrested on the basis of secret information. Allopathic drugs were recovered from him. As per Section 26 of NDPS Act, violation of licence would lead an imprisonment for a term which may extend to three years or with fine or with both. The complicity of the petitioner in relation to the offence on the basis of mobile number and alleged purchase from one Mulla whose details have not come forth, would remain debatable.

In view of above, at this stage, without meaning anything on the merits of the case, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the

trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

Nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of any opinion on merits of the case.

March 28, 2018.

Prince

**(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No