

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

FAO-4272-2003

Date of decision : 16.10.2018

Sunil Kumar

... Appellant

Versus

Pepsu Roadways Transport Corporation Patiala and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Vijay Lath, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate
for respondents no.1 & 2-PRTC.

Mr. Neeraj Khanna, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Enhancement of compensation in this case is sought for the damage sustained by the car owned by the appellant herein, as was driven by the appellant-claimant in the accompanying appeal, i.e. by Vinod Kumar, with Mr. Lath, learned counsel for the appellant, submitting that even as per the assessment made by the surveyor appointed by the respondent-insurance company, the car had suffered damage to the tune of Rs.80,000/- and consequently the Tribunal has erred in awarding only Rs.10,000/-.

However, a perusal of the impugned Award shows that the learned Tribunal specifically noticed that the car was of a model of the year 1998 and as per the photographs led by way of evidence, it was not shown to be damaged from front though it was contended to be a head-on collision.

In fact only the doors were shown to be damaged.

That being so, I see absolutely no reason to enhance the compensation of Rs.10,000/- awarded in this case.

Consequently this appeal is dismissed.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

October 16, 2018.
D.K./dinesh

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No