

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-37167-2017(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 15 , 2018

Vijender

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sant Lal Barwala, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed for grant of regular bail. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner that the injury under Section 307 IPC has been attributed to his client.

Be that as it may, the petitioner was armed with a knife and has caused injury therewith to the complainant on his abdomen and also to his father.

In view of the above, I do not deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail and his petition, is therefore, dismissed.

Learned State counsel submits that out of fourteen prosecution witnesses, seven have been examined. The learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and complete the same within a period of six months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order

January 15, 2018

*sonia arora*

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]**

**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned :**

**Yes/No**

**Whether Reportable :**

**Yes/No**