

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.37108 of 2017
Date of Decision : 20.03.2018**

Murtaza Ansari and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present : Mr. Saqib Ali Khan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, Asstt. Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)

In this petition, the petitioners, who are the accused persons in case/cross version i.e. DDR No.15, dated 5th September, 2015, recorded in Police Station Division No.4, Ludhiana, under Sections 451, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.162 dated 4th September, 2015, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Division No.4, Ludhiana (Annexure P-2), have prayed for quashing of the same with all subsequent proceedings pending therefrom, on the basis of compromise.

2. Now the complainant/respondent No.2 has arrived at a settlement with the accused persons vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-3), which is duly signed by her. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to

genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, vide report dated 24th October, 2017 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Respondents No.2 is represented by her counsel and does not dispute the factum of compromise.

4. In view of the report of the Ld. Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543** and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has herself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. Case/cross version vide DDR No.15, dated 5th September, 2015, recorded in Police Station Division No.4, Ludhiana, under Sections 451, 323, 341, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code (Annexure P-1) in FIR No.162 dated 4th September, 2015, under Sections 323, 341, 506, 452, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Division No.4, Ludhiana (Annexure P-2), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed *qua* the present petitioners.

March 20, 2018

Dpr

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No