

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38018-2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision:-25.09.2018.

Baba Darshan Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Luvinder Sofat, AAG, Punjab and
Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab assisted by
ASI Harjeet Singh, P.S. Kot Khalsa, Amritsar City.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, petitioner has sought for direction to the police officials for taking legal action against the private respondents for committing non-cognizable offence against the petitioner and all other family members of the petitioner, pursuant to Annexure P-5.

2.) Learned State counsel, pursuant to order dated 12.09.2018 on instructions from ASI Harjeet Singh submitted that they do not have receipt of Annexure P-5.

3.) Learned counsel for the petitioner tried to impress this Court while relying on Annexure P-6 dated 31.05.2018 by which one of the police official moved application to the doctor regarding petitioner in Civil Hospital, Amritsar. Perusal of Annexure P-6, it is not evident that petitioner

moved Annexure P-5 or his statement was recorded by the police. Unless and until Annexure P-5 is communicated to the concerned police official, question of taking necessary action or to settle the petitioner's grievance do not arise.

4.) Therefore, petition stands dismissed. Reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned official with reference to his grievance in the present petition. If such representation is submitted the concerned police officer is directed to take action, in accordance with law at the earliest and communicate the decision to the petitioner.

5.) With the above observations, petition stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

September 25, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.