

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37044 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:22.01.2018

Navdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

AND

CRM-M-41981 of 2017 (O&M)

Mandeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr.Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

LISA GILL, J(Oral).

This order shall dispose of CRM-M-37044 of 2017 and CRM-M-41981 of 2017. For the sake of convenience, facts are extracted from CRM-M-37044 of 2017.

Contentions on behalf of petitioners recorded while granting interim relief on 09.11.2017 reads as under:-

“It is reiterated that the complainant is involved in embezzlement of `2,29,264/-. This fact was brought to light by Mandeep Singh i.e., the petitioner in CRM No.M-41981 of 2017, who is working in the same department as the complainant. It is submitted that it is for this reason that both the petitioners have been implicated in this case. It is asserted that mobile number 9653700015 was allotted by the mobile

company to one Lovpreet Singh prior to the incident in question as the petitioner – Navdeep Singh (in CRM No.M-37044 of 2017) had surrendered the said mobile connection. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners undertake to face proceedings.”

It is submitted that both the petitioners have joined investigation pursuant to the interim order passed by this Court. They undertake to face the proceedings and not abuse the concession of bail, if confirmed. It is thus prayed that these petitions be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Harmesh Kumar, verifies that both the petitioners have joined investigation. It is further verified that mobile No. 9653700015 is being used by one Lovepreet Singh. No recovery is to be effected from them and they are not involved in any other criminal case. There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioners are likely to abscond or influence witnesses in any manner.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above, but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, these petitions are allowed. Consequently, order dated 09.11.2017 in both the petitions, is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

22.01.2018
s.khan

[LISA GILL]
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No.

Whether reportable : Yes/No.