

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CRM M-37872 of 2018
Date of Decision: August 30, 2018

Ram Niwas

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Ajay Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, petitioner has sought anticipatory bail under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No. 714 dated 25.07.2018, under Sections 384, 388, 389, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Sirsa.

2. Perusal of the FIR, it is evident that it is clear case of black-mailing and extracting money. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the alleged incident has taken place on 18.05.2018, whereas FIR has been registered on 25.07.2018. Irrespective of delay in lodging the FIR, conduct of the petitioner is required to be taken into consideration for the purpose of entitlement of anticipatory bail. There are serious allegation relating to extortion of money. Even mobile phone is being used for the purpose of extortion money as is stated in the FIR. Extortion money by the petitioner and mobile phone used in the crime are yet to be recovered and for that purpose, custodial interrogation is required. Thus, petitioner has not made out a case so as to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail.

3. Accordingly, instant petition stands rejected.

August 30, 2018
vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]
Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No