

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-37816-2018
Date of decision: 06.09.2018**

Priyanka and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Neeraj Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Himmat Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Lalit Kumar, Advocate
for respondent No. 8.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No. 1 is aged about 22 years and petitioner No. 2 is aged about 32 years and they have performed marriage on 10.10.2017. However, later on, the marriage of petitioner No. 1 was forcibly performed with respondent No. 8 on 03.12.2017. This fact is also set up by learned counsel for respondent No. 8.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since in the present petition nothing can be observed with regard to marriage of petitioner No. 1 either with petitioner No. 2 or with respondent No. 8, hence, keeping in view the limited scope of the petition that both the petitioners are only seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2

and 3 to look into their grievance for providing adequate protection for the safety of their life and liberty, without commenting anything on the marital status of the petitioners as well as respondent No. 8, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Superintendent of Police, Rohtak to look into the grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-4) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

September 06, 2018

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No