

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.37757 of 2018

Date of decision: 10th December, 2018

Anirudh Damani & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Petitioners in person along with their counsel
 Mr. Akash Vashisht, Advocate.

 Mr. Gaurav Bansal, Asstt. Advocate General, Haryana
 for respondent No.1/State.

 Respondents No.2 in person along with her counsel
 Mr. Saurabh Gulia, Advocate.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Complainant Ms. Rashmi Damani and the accused petitioners namely Anirudh Damani and Smt. Kiran Damani have come present in person in compliance of the previous orders of this Court, and have made statements on oath that the compromise Ex.C1 has been arrived at voluntarily, out of the free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure before the Court below as is highlighted in its report dated 26.09.2018.

Heard.

In the light of the stand of both the sides who have decided to part ways amicably for all times to come, being a pure matrimonial case,

together with the fact that the offences for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature and that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.328 dated 19.09.2014 under Sections 498-A, 406, 323, 34 IPC pertaining to Police Station Surajkund, Faridabad and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands allowed in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

December 10, 2018

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No