

283

**THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

\*\*\*\*

**CRM-M No.36759 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of Decision: 19.01.2018**

\*\*\*\*

Parminder Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr. Munish Puri, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, A.A.G., Punjab,  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate,  
for respondents No.2 and 3.

\*\*\*\*

**SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

**CRM No.37736 of 2017:**

Allowed as prayed for.

Application stands disposed off.

**CRM-M No.36759 of 2017:**

In this petition, the petitioner, who is an accused in F.I.R. No.42, dated 15.02.2003, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), has prayed for quashing of the F.I.R with all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the

society, the complainant has arrived at a settlement with the accused vide Compromise Deed (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by him. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. The Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, vide report dated 03.11.2017, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

[3]. Respondents No. 2 and 3 are represented by their Counsel and they do not oppose the compromise.

[4]. In view of the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Pathankot, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **“Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”**, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and **“Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”**, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioner/accused person.

[5]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. F.I.R. No.42, dated 15.02.2003, under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Pathankot, District Gurdaspur, (Annexure P-1), and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

19.01.2018

Apurva

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)  
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No